HUMAN FACTOR MSI PREVENTION TRAINING FOR CONSTRUCTION WORKERS
TRAINING EVALUATION FORM RESPONSE SUMMARY

Job Title

- Mechanic Journeyman
- Office
- Unanswered
- Safety Manager
- Yard Worker
- Journey Person
- Safety & Training
- Concrete Finisher
- Foreman
- Driver
- Lead Hand
- Welder/Fitter
- Welder
- 1st Class CNC Operator
- Journeyman Bricklayer
- Operator
- Other
- Apprentice
- Supervisor
- Construction Worker

Percentage
Question 1: The training met my expectations.

- Strongly Agree: 45%
- Agree: 45%
- Neutral: 10%
- Unanswered: 0%

Question 2: The objectives were clear and were met by the instructor.

- Strongly Agree: 45%
- Agree: 40%
- Neutral: 20%
- Unanswered: 0%
Question 3: The content was organized and easy to follow.

- Neutral
- Agree
- Strongly Agree

Question 4: The trainer was knowledgeable.

- Strongly Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
Question 5: The quality of instruction was good.

Unanswered
Strongly Disagree
Disagree
Neutral
Agree
Strongly Agree

Question 6: I will be able to apply the warm-up and stretching routine at work.

Strongly Disagree
Disagree
Neutral
Agree
Strongly Agree
Question 7: I will be able to apply the knowledge learned to my job.

Question 8: Class participation and interaction were encouraged.
Question 9: Adequate time was provided for questions and discussion.

- Strongly Agree: 50%  
- Agree: 30%  
- Neutral: 10%  
- Disagree: 5%  
- Unanswered: 5%

Question 10: How do you rate the training overall?

- Excellent: 60%  
- Good: 40%  
- Neutral: 10%  
- Poor: 5%  
- Unanswered: 5%
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Q11 What aspects of the training could be improved? | Heavy lifting  
More on job type lifting, little more time for Q&A, smaller group.  
Whole day presentation required. Pressed for time for 1/2 day.  
Merger of thinking; training new workers.  
Training new workers.  
Training new workers.  
Merger of thinking, training new workers.  
More participants/owner involved.  
More people involved.  
Lifting  
A practical approach taught in school.  
We talked about ergonomics but I am not sure everyone still knows what that means.  
It was good, maybe more examples of different things.  
Met expectations  
It was very well presented. Group interaction kept me more alert.  
It was great.  
Learned a lot.  
None  
None  
Everything was good.  
It’s well done.  
Job well done! P.S too long!!  
Get the workers to discuss past injuries so younger or new workers know the importance of everything discussed in the training.  
None  
Translator is needed.  
None. Well covered.  
Nothing at all everything was clear.  
Nothing, all of the info was clear and presented properly.  
None it was great.  
It was a good presentation.  
The instructor.  
Nothing  
If the instructors had more real world training on a job site they could cater more towards the particular trade they are working with.  
None of the solutions to avoiding repetitive work could be applied to our daily routine.  
Was all good.  
Understanding that we work in uncontrollable environments and that we can’t always do exactly as they explained so alternate methods might be needed.  
Very good.  
Nothing  
Was good overall. I liked the stretches that could be applied to our day.  
Focus more on real issues as opposed to the ones that are not a problem.  
Not a lot. The meetings (phone & in person) prior to the presentation covered questions before hand making the presentation focussed.  
More on site specific lifts. |